UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	V
PATRICIA CUMMINGS,	X
Plaintiff,	Docket No. 19-cv-07723 (CM)

-against-

THE CITY OF NEW YORK: NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION; GIULIA COX; COURTNEY WARE; BEN CHAPMAN; NEW YORK DAILY NEWS; DR. ANDRE PERRY; THE HECHINGER REPORT a/k/a HECHINGER INSTITUTE ON EDUCATION AND THE MEDIA; LENARD LARRY McKELVEY a/k/a CHARLAMAGNE THA GOD; WWPR-FM (105.1 MHZ); iHEARTMEDIA; CLEAR CHANNEL COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; NEW YORK STATE SENATOR, KEVIN S. PARKER; COALITION OF EDUCATIONAL JUSTICE; ANGEL MARTINEZ2; NATASHA CAPERS; PHILIP SCOTT; ADVISE MEDIA NETWORK n/k/a AFRICAN DIASPORA NEWS CHANNEL, and "JOHN DOE AND JANE DOE # 1-100" said names being fictitious, it being the intent of Plaintiff to designate any and all individuals, officers, members, agents, servants, and/or employees of the aforementioned agencies owing a duty of care to Plaintiff, individually and jointly and severally,

Defendants. -----X

<u>DECLARATION OF THOMAS F. LIOTTI IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE</u> OF MOTION FOR REARGUMENT AND RECONSIDERATION

THOMAS F. LIOTTI, ESQ., hereby declares pursuant to 29 U.S.C §1746:

1. I am the principal attorney with the Law Offices of Thomas F. Liotti, LLC, attorneys for the Plaintiff, PATRICIA CUMMINGS ("Plaintiff"), and I am admitted to practice in this Court. As such, I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances of this matter.

- 2. I submit this Declaration together with the Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law in motion for reargument and reconsideration, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) and Local Rule 6.3, with respect to the Court's March 26, 2021 Memorandum Decision and Order Dismissing Amended Complaint; and for such other and further relief as this Court deems to be just and proper.
- 3. I have attached hereto the following Exhibits, which are referenced in the accompanying Memorandum of Law in Opposition:
 - Exhibit A: Copy of e-mail dated October 21, 2019;
 - Exhibit B: Copy of the correspondence dated November 22, 2019;
 - Exhibit C: Copy of the correspondence dated February 3, 2019;
 - Exhibit D: Copy of all correspondence for the NYC DOE;
 - Exhibit E: Copy of the e-mail dated February 10, 2021 and letter to the NYC DOE and e-mail and correspondence from the NYC DOE dated February 26, 2021;
 - Exhibit F: Redacted Copy of Office of Special Investigations Investigative Report (OSI);
 - Exhibit G: Copies of the Defendant Cox's August e-mails;
 - Exhibit H: Copy of the February 1, 2018 e-mail.
- 4. The Plaintiff was a probationary New York City Public School Teacher at The William W. Niles School Middle School 118, Community School District 10, in Bronx County, New York. She seeks damages related *inter alia* to the infliction of defamation and defamation per se, slander, slander per se, libel, libel per se, fraud/misconduct, negligence, denial of due process, violation of civil rights, discrimination, and intentional infliction of emotional distress, loss of reputation, loss of income, expenses upon her, the erroneous termination of her employment as a New York City school teacher, and the "badge of infamy" with which she has forever been branded.

Case 1:19-cv-07723-CM Document 143 Filed 04/15/21 Page 3 of 3

5. As result of what was fallaciously reported concerning an alleged complaint made

by a parent of a student regarding a lesson taught by Plaintiff in her social studies class on the

Middle Passage, Plaintiff subsequently and unwillingly became the subject of a front page false

accusations her of being a "racist" and "making black students lie face down on the floor of her

class, and asking them '[H]ow does it feel to be a slave?" As a result, this fabricated and erroneous

set of "facts" was picked up by the media worldwide and transmitted to various news outlets and

appeared online and in media all over the world.

The arguments proffered by Plaintiff in the accompanying Memorandum of Law 6.

sufficiently support the Plaintiff's application for reconsideration. Thus, Plaintiff presents herein

a sufficient basis the relief requested herein.

7. Based on the foregoing the relief requested by Plaintiff should be granted in its

entirety; and such other and further relief should be granted to the Plaintiff, as to this Court may

seem just and proper.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: Garden City, New York

April 7, 2021

3